



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | —————————————————————————————————————— | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/039,647 | 01/07/2002 | Folker Beck | 09005-US | 9208 | |
| 7590 05/13/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Deere & Company John Deer Road Moline, IL 61265-8098 | | | ZANELLI, MICHAEL J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | • | 3661 | | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 05/13/200 | DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/039,647 | BECK, FOLKER | | | | | |
| omes Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this committee | Michael J. Zanelli | 3661 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fel | bruary 2004 | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | THOM Consideration. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-21</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | stad or b) Dahia stadis a su | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/039,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is responsive to applicant's communication filed 2/9/04. Claims 1-22 are pending.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 3. Claims 1 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Behnke et al. (6,146,268).
 - A. As per claims 1 and 22, Behnke discloses a monitoring device (Fig. 8) for a harvesting machine (Abs.). At least one sensor (Fig. 3:1) generates a signal containing information on the noise of grain as it passes through the harvesting machine. As noted in col. 5, lines 4-7, the sensor (1) is located such it is not directly exposed to the flow of grain. Behnke discloses that the monitoring device is used in a conventional harvesting machine having threshing and separating mechanisms (col. 2, lines 29-38).
- 4. Claims 2-21 are distinguishable over the prior art. As per claim 2, the prior art of record does not show or reasonably suggest, in combination with the other claimed subject matter, providing a sound reproduction device in the operator's cab which acoustically reproduces signals derived by the sensor. Dependent claims 3-21 are distinguishable for at least the same reasons. Although the prior art discloses outputting a warning signal to the operator if a noise exceeds a given threshold value (Bohman, 4,275,546, col. 5, lines 28-40), it does not disclose or reasonably suggest reproducing the noise acoustically and providing the reproduced noise to the operator as claimed.

5. **REMARKS**

Application/Control Number: 10/039,647

Art Unit: 3661

A. The applicant's arguments have been duly considered, but are non-persuasive. As indicated in the last Office action, claims 1 and 22 literally read on the Behnke patent. In particular, the claims merely require a *sensor* for generating a signal containing information on noises of the grain as it flows through the machine and that the *sensor* be arranged outside the grain flow. As noted above, Behnke discloses a vibration sensor arranged outside of the grain flow (col. 5, lines 4-7).

- B. Claims 2-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Zanelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,647

Art Unit: 3661

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/mjz

MICHAEL J. ZANELLI PRIMARY EXAMINER